

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,282	03/16/2005		Mack Arthur Vines	PF08501WOUS	6623
51831	7590	07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
VOITH FA	BRICS		MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H		
3040 BLAC	K CREEK	ROAD			
P.O. BOX 1411				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILSON, NC 27893				3765	

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summer:	10/528,282	VINES, MACK ARTHUR				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert H. Muromoto, Jr.	3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2005.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
	application from the International Bureau	* **					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
			√ v				
Amada:	4.3						
Attachment 1) Notice	i(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/16/2005</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P.	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 7, 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 7 depend from claim 1, and recite a "first" and "second" MD yarn system, respectively. However, there is no clear antecedent basis for these recitations in claim 1.

Claims 14 and 21 are confusing because the specification recites permeability in units referred to as "cfm" but in the claims "CM" are recited. The examiner has assumed the claims include a typographical error and has used the "cfm" recitation consistent with the instant specification for this examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schroder et al., US patent 5,343,896.

'896 discloses a papermaker's dryer fabric, as claimed. Fig. 3a clearly shows MD yarn pair with yarn 14 vertically stacked with yarn 15; this arrangement inherently provides abrasion resistance to yarn 15, as claimed.

Referring to figure 1, yarns 11 and 13 are one CD system, while yarn 12 is the other CD system (single yarn). Figure 1 clearly shows these two systems alternating and yarn 11 (upper) stacked on yarn 13 (lower) as claimed.

The MD yarns are provided in two systems as claimed. Yarns 14, 16, 18 (upper) being one and Yarns 15,17,19 (lower) being the other. Figure 1, clearly shows yarn 14 from upper system being stacked over yarn 15 of lower system as claimed.

Both MD yarn systems disclose the limitations with regard to yarn location in the weave structure as recited in claims 6, 7, and 8.

'896 clearly discloses the use of flat MD yarns woven with round CD yarns for more control of fabric permeability. '896 also clearly discloses the affect of aspect ratio of the MD yarns on the fabric permeability and discloses that modification can result in any desired end use fabric permeability, with the preferred range being 2:1 to 6:1.

'896 discloses many embodiments with permeability ranges within the ranges recited in claims 14 and 21.

"896 discloses many embodiments with CD yarns in the ranges recited in claims 12, 13, 19 and 20.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bobby Muromoto July 19, 2006 Patent examiner